	FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SEP 9, 2021
	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	BY:DEPUTY
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHER	N DIVISION
CHROMADEX, INC.,	Case No.: SACV 16-02277-CJC (DFMx)
Plaintiff,))
v.) [DRAFT] VERDICT FORM
ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC., and MARK	
MORRIS,	
Defendants.	
ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC.,	
Counterclaimant,	
v.))
CHROMADEX, INC.,	
Counter-Defendant.))

1	I. CHRO	OMADEX'S CLAIMS
2 3	Breach of C	Contract – NIAGEN Supply Agreement & pTeroPure Supply Agreement
4 5 6 7	1.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elysium breached the NIAGEN Supply Agreement and/or the pTeroPure Supply Agreement by not paying for the June 30, 2016 ingredient order? Yes No
8	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 2. If you answered "no," skip to question 3.
10 11	2.	What are ChromaDex's damages caused by Elysium not paying for the June 30, 2016 order?
12 13		DAMAGES: \$
14 15	Breach of C Agreement	Contract – February Confidentiality Agreement & July Confidentiality
16 17	3.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris breached the agreement he signed with ChromaDex on February 26, 2016?
18 19		Yes No
20 21	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 4. If you answered "no," skip to question 5.
22 23	4.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris was unjustly enriched as a result of his breach of the February 26, 2016
24		contract'?
2526		Yes No
2728		

1	5.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it and Mark Morris entered into a valid and enforceable contract on July 15, 2016?
2		Yes No
3		
5	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 6. If you answered "no," skip to question 8.
6 7	6.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris breached the July 15, 2016 contract?
8 9		Yes No
10 11	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 7. If you answered "no," skip to question 8.
12 13	7.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris was unjustly enriched as a result of his breach of the July 15, 2016 contract?
14 15		Yes No
16 17	Trade Secre	et Misappropriation
18 19	8.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elysium misappropriated one or both of ChromaDex's trade secrets under state law?
20 21		Yes No
22 23	9.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elysium misappropriated one or both of ChromaDex's trade secrets under federa law?
24 25		Yes No
26 27	" "	ered "yes" to one or both of questions 8 or 9, answer question 10. If you no" to both questions 8 and 9, skip to question 11.
28		

1	10.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elysium's misappropriation caused it to be unjustly enriched?
2		Yes No
3		
5	11.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris misappropriated ChromaDex's trade secrets under state law?
6 7		Yes No
8	12.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris misappropriated ChromaDex's trade secrets under federal law?
10 11		Yes No
12 13		ered "yes" to one or both of questions 11 or 12, answer question 13. If you no" to both questions 11 and 12, skip to question 14.
14 15	13.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris's misappropriation caused him to be unjustly enriched?
16 17		Yes No
18 19	Breach of F	iduciary Duty and Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty
20 21	14.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris breached a fiduciary duty to ChromaDex?
22 23		Yes No
24 25	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 15. If you answered "no," skip to question 18.
26		
27		
28		

- 1		
1	15.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Mark Morris was unjustly enriched as a result of his breach of fiduciary duty?
2		Yes No
3		
5	16.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elysium aided and abetted Mark Morris in his breach of fiduciary duty?
6		aided and abetied wiark worms in his breach of fiduciary duty:
7		Yes No
8	If you answe	ered "yes," answer question 17. If you answered "no," skip to question 18.
9		
10	17.	Did ChromaDex prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elysium was unjustly enriched as a result of its aiding and abetting Mark Morris in his
12		breach of fiduciary duty?
13		Yes No
14	ChromaDex	a's Damages – Elysium
15		
16 17	18.	If you answered "Yes" to one or both of Questions 10 or 17, should Elysium
18		be required to disgorge profits from its sales of Basis containing NR purchased on June 30, 2016?
19		Yes No
20		
21	If you answe	ered "yes," answer question 19. If you answered "no," skip to question 20.
22		
23	19.	What amount of profits should Elysium be required to disgorge from its sales of Basis containing NR purchased on June 30, 2016?
24		sales of Basis containing NR purchased on June 30, 2016?
25		DAMAGES: \$
26		
27		
28		

1	20.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 17, should Elysium be required to disgorge a price discount?
2 3		Yes No
4 5	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 21. If you answered "no," skip to question 22.
6	21.	What amount of price discount should Elysium be required to disgorge?
7 8		DAMAGES: \$
9	22.	Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Elysium acted with
12		malice, oppression, or fraud? Yes No
13	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 23. If you answered "no," skip to question 24.
15 16	23.	What amount of punitive damages do you award in favor of ChromaDex and against Elysium?
18		PUNITIVE DAMAGES: \$
20	ChromaDex	x's Damages – Mark Morris
21 22	24.	If you answered "Yes" to any of Questions 4, 7, 13, or 15, should Mark Morris be required to disgorge compensation?
23		Yes No
25 26	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 25. If you answered "no," skip to question 26.
27 28		

DAMAGES: \$_____

27

28

1	Fraudulent Inducement		
2 3 4	30.	Did Elysium prove by a preponderance of the evidence that ChromaDex fraudulently induced Elysium to enter into the Trademark License and Royalty Agreement?	
5		Yes No	
6	If a car crack a	and "nog" grange grantier 21. If you granged "no" alin to grantier 22	
7	ij you answ	ered "yes," answer question 31. If you answered "no," skip to question 33.	
8 9	31.	Did Elysium prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it was harmed by ChromaDex's fraudulent inducement?	
10 11		Yes No	
12 13	If you answ	ered "yes," answer question 32. If you answered "no," skip to question 33.	
14	32.	What are Elysium's damages for ChromaDex's fraudulent inducement?	
15 16		DAMAGES: \$	
17 18	Punitive Da	<u>umages</u>	
19	33.	Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that ChromaDex acted with malice, oppression, or fraud?	
20 21		Yes No	
22 23	1	ered "yes," answer question 34. If you answered "no," sign and date your	
24	verdict form	1.	
25	24	What amount of nunitive demages do you award in favor of Elvaires and	
26	34.	What amount of punitive damages do you award in favor of Elysium and against ChromaDex?	
27		PUNITIVE DAMAGES: \$	
28			

1	Please sign and d	ate this form, and then r	return it to the Court.
2			
3	Dated:		
4			
5	Signed:		
6		Presiding Juror	
7			
8			
9			
.0			
1			
2			
.3			
4			
.5			
.6			
7			
.8			
9			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28