```
Page 1
 1
          UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
           BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 2
 3
     ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC., : CASE NO.
Petitioner, : IPR2017-01795
 5
         vs.
     TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH
 6
     COLLEGE,
 7
               Patent Owner, :
 8
 9
                Oral deposition of JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D.,
10
     taken at Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr, LLP, 1500
11
12
     Market Street, 38th Floor, Philadelphia,
13
     Pennsylvania, on Thursday, April 26, 2018, beginning
     at approximately 9:00 a.m., before Maureen E.
14
     Broderick, Registered Professional Reporter and
15
16
     Notary Public in and of the Commonwealth of
17
     Pennsylvania.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		B		D 4
1	APPEARANCES	Page 2	1	Page 4
2	FOLEY HOAG LLP			TOGETHUR DRIP DE DE L
	BY: JEREMY A. YOUNKIN, ESQUIRE		2	JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D., having
3	Seaport West		3	been first duly sworn to tell
	155 Seaport Boulevard	4		the truth, was examined and
4	Boston, MA 02210-2600		5	testified as follows:
_	(617) 832-1000		6	
5 6	jyounkin@foleyhoag.com Counsel for Petitioner		7	COURT REPORTER: Stipulations? Will the
7	Counsel for Petitioner		8	witness read and sign?
8	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP			3
	BY: JAMIE L. LUCIA, ESQUIRE		9	MR. YOUNKIN: He'll read and sign.
9	BY: JOHN L. ABRAMIC, ESQUIRE		10	MS. LUCIA: Jamie Lucia for the patent
	1 Market Street		11	owner, Trustees of Dartmouth College.
10	Steuart Tower, Suite 1800		12	MR. ABRAMIC: John Abramic for patent
	San Francisco, CA 94105		13	owner as well.
11	(415) 365-6711		14	MR. YOUNKIN: Jeremy Younkin, of Foley
12	<pre>jlucia@steptoe.com jabramic@steptoe.com</pre>			
13	Counsel for Patent Owner		15	Hoag, for the petitioner, Elysium Health, Inc.,
14	counsel for racent owner		16	and the witness.
15			17	
16			18	EXAMINATION
17			19	
18			20	BY MS. LUCIA:
19			21	
20				2
21			22	A Good morning.
22			23	Q Could you please state your full name for
24			24	the record, please?
25			25	A Joseph A. Baur, B-A-U-R.
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	Page 3	1	Page 5 Q And I gave to you three documents. They
2	WITNESS	PAGE	2	have already been marked in our IPR as Exhibit 1001,
3	Joseph A. Baur, Ph.D.		3	which is the '086 patent.
4	By Ms. Lucia	4		_
_	By Mr. Younkin	48	4	
	by MI. TOURKIN	40		Are you familiar with that document?
_ ا			5	Are you familiar with that document? A Yes.
5			5 6	-
6			-	A Yes.
			6	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this
6			6 7 8	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review?
6 7			6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm.
6 7 8	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've
6 7 8 9	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm.
6 7 8 9 10	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've
6 7 8 9 10 11	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so you'll give you one more. I've also given you Exhibit 1007, which I believe we've referred to as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so you'll give you one more. I've also given you Exhibit 1007, which I believe we've referred to as Trammell I.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so you'll give you one more. I've also given you Exhibit 1007, which I believe we've referred to as Trammell I. You're familiar with that one?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so you'll give you one more. I've also given you Exhibit 1007, which I believe we've referred to as Trammell I. You're familiar with that one? A Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(No exhibits were marked at	this time.)	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q And I gave you Exhibit 1002, which should be the declaration that you submitted in this review? A Mm-hmm. Q And finally, Exhibit 1005, which we've been referring to as the Goldberger reference. A Yes. Q You're familiar with all three of those? A Yes. Q And Exhibit 1002 is, in fact, the declaration that you submitted in this IPR, correct? A Yes. Q I had forgotten a document to give you, so you'll give you one more. I've also given you Exhibit 1007, which I believe we've referred to as Trammell I. You're familiar with that one?

Page 8 Page 6 1 declaration. I'm not sure how to define "verv 1 2 Α (Witness complies.) 2 different." You report the different results in those 3 0 You're there? 3 0 three types of milk in paragraph 11 of your 4 Α Yes 5 So you see in the first sentence of 5 declaration, correct? paragraph 11, you state that: Nicotinamide riboside 6 Α Yes. (NR) is a form of vitamin B3 that has been carefully 7 7 And how would you characterize the 8 and clearly documented to be present at a 8 difference between the levels of nicotinamide 9 substantial level in milk. 9 riboside between those three types of milk? 10 Did I read that correctly? 10 I guess I would characterize them as different, but I think the word "very" is too 11 Yes. 11 Α What do you consider to be a substantial subjective to provide a legal definition for whether 12 12 level of nicotinamide riboside? 13 or not they're very different. 13 In that case, I meant a level that is And does it surprise you at all that 14 14 robustly detectable, so it couldn't have been an 15 they -- that, in the Trammell I reference, they 15 16 error in the readings in the instruments. 16 reported different levels of nicotinamide riboside in those three different types of milk? 17 What do you mean by it couldn't have been 17 18 an error in the readings in the instruments? 18 Α No. 19 I mean any of the instruments that you 19 0 Why not? 20 would use to detect nicotinamide riboside of a 20 Because the milk, you know, milk is coming certain level of background noise. So at a certain from different cows. There's normal biological 22 threshold, there would be some doubt as to whether variability within about the range that was reported 22 or not the signal was real. So primarily what I 23 for most things that you would measure in another meant here was that we were well above that 24 living organism. So nothing about that surprised 24 threshold and there should be no doubt that that was 25 Page 7 Page 9 nicotinamide riboside. 1 The biological variability that you 2 Is that referring specifically to the mentioned, how does that impact the activity of the results reported in Trammell I? nicotinamide riboside in the milk? 4 Α Yes. MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 5 Trammell I, Exhibit 1007, that article 5 THE WITNESS: Presumably its activity is published after the '086 patent issued, correct? 6 proportional to its concentration, so it would 6 7 7 I believe so. I don't have the date in vary but always be present in these samples. 8 front of me for the patent to compare. 8 BY MS. LUCIA: 9 It should be on that face of the patent --9 0 When you said biological variability in 10 Exhibit 1001 should have the issue date in the upper 10 the milk, what did you mean by that? 11 right-hand corner. 11 I mean for just about any parameter you 12 12 can measure in living organisms, if you take a So Trammell I did publish after the '086 random sampling across a population of different 13 Q 13 14 patent issued, correct? individuals, you'll get a bell-shaped curve of values. So they're just -- you don't get precisely 15 15 16 And at the time that the Trammell I 16 the same answer from two different individuals for 17 published, the amount of nicotinamide riboside in 17 almost anything on any given day. So we expect a milk was not known, correct? certain amount of difference between repeat samples. 18 18 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Relevance. MR. YOUNKIN: Sorry. How do I get this 19 19 2.0 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 20 going again? 21 BY MS. LUCIA: 21 COURT REPORTER: The arrow at the bottom 22 And Trammell I reports very different 22 will start the scroll again. concentrations of nicotinamide riboside in each of 23 23 Also, there is a charge for the realtime, 24 Rock House milk, organic skim milk and conventional 24 just so you're aware, Counsel. 25 skim milk, correct? 25 MR. YOUNKIN: That's fine.

Page 12 Page 10 (Discussion off the record.) generally be more or less than the amount of 1 1 2 BY MS. LUCIA: 2 nicotinamide riboside in the milk? 0 So you mentioned the bell-shape curve of 3 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. values. By "values," do you mean the amount of THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure, 4 5 nicotinamide riboside measured in the given milk? 5 without looking at a reference. I would expect 6 Right, in this concentration -- in this 6 the amount of tryptophan to be a little bit 7 example, the concentration of nicotinamide riboside 7 higher, but tryptophan is also not completely 8 would be the value I was referring to. 8 bioavailable for NAD synthesis. 9 And given that bell-shaped curve, is there 9 So in terms of saying which one would 10 any other impact on the activity of the nicotinamide 10 contribute more, I couldn't really do that riboside in the measured samples? without spending some time looking at a 11 11 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 12 12 reference. 13 THE WITNESS: Other than 13 BY MS. LUCIA: concentration-dependent changes, I'm not aware 14 14 What do you mean by you -- in terms of of any reason to think there would be. saying "which one would contribute more," what did 15 15 16 BY MS. LUCIA: 16 you mean by that? 17 Q The Trammell I reference measured only 17 So nicotinamide is generally only used for 18 certain of the NAD+ precursors, correct? 18 NAD biosynthesis. Tryptophan is used for protein 19 19 synthesis, and a small amount of it is diverted to 20 So, for example, it did not measure the 20 de novo NAD biosynthesis. So it's not a fair 0 comparison to take a molecule of tryptophan and a tryptophan concentration in the milk samples that 21 21 22 are reported, correct? 22 molecule of nicotinamide. 23 I believe that's true, but I would need a 23 Fair enough. minute to look at the reference, if you want me to 24 And there's no data in Trammell I 24 regarding the amount of nicotinamide riboside that confirm. Page 11 Page 13 1 Please go ahead. was in the milk used in the Goldberger reference, Exhibit 1005, correct? 2 I'll also point you to one spot that 2 you could look, if you would like. On page 3, on 3 That's correct. 4 the left-hand column, under Results, the third 4 Trammell I also reports that nicotinamide sentence there starts: We defined NAD+ precursor 5 5 riboside is bound to some other molecule in milk, vitamin concentration. And then it goes on to 6 correct? 6 7 7 describe the types of molecules that they're Α Correct. 8 measuring. 8 And it reports that, in fact, it doesn't 9 Α Yes. I would agree that tryptophan is not 9 know which molecule in milk it is bound to, correct? 10 on that list. 10 11 And tryptophan is the precursor for NAD+ 11 So looking again at paragraph 11 of your 12 that operates through the de novo pathway, correct? 12 declaration, I'll read it for you: Trammell I 13 states that the data presented in the article show 13 Α 14 Q Would you -- if they, if Trammell I had 14 that approximately 40 percent of niacin equivalents, (excluding tryptophan) in cow's milk are present as 15 reported the amount of tryptophan in these milk 15 samples, how would you have expected that to compare NR with the remainder present with nicotinamide. 16 17 to the amount of nicotinamide riboside found in the 17 Did I read that correctly? samples? 18 18 Yes. 19 19 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. So that's saying that the amount of THE WITNESS: I don't actually have a good 2.0 20 nicotinamide was higher than the amount of answer to that, I'm afraid. I don't know what 21 nicotinamide riboside in the milk that they 21 22 the relative concentration of tryptophan in 22 measured, correct? 23 milk is. 23 Α Yes. On average. 24 BY MS. LUCIA: 24 Do you know, of all the different NAD+ 25 So you don't know whether it would 25 precursor molecules, which of those would have the

Page 14 Page 16 So the nicotinamide riboside in milk is 1 lowest concentration in milk? 1 2 If you're talking about the typical 2 the ingredient that is increasing NAD+ biosynthesis, 3 precursors, which are nicotinamide, nicotinic acid, 3 in your opinion, correct? nicotinamide riboside and tryptophan, out of those, MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Relevance. 4 5 nicotinic acid is at the lowest concentration in 5 THE WITNESS: I don't think that is 6 milk, according to that reference. 6 proven. 7 7 Do you agree with that? BY MS. LUCIA: 8 That's the only data I have on the 8 But isn't that what the paragraph 36 of 9 9 your declaration says? subject, so I agree. 10 You haven't ever independently checked No. I believe that sentence says -- makes 10 yourself as to whether or not those numbers are two different statements; one, that nicotinamide 11 11 riboside is contained in the milk; and, two, that 12 correct? 12 13 13 the milk increases NAD biosynthesis after Α No. You also have in front of you 14 administration, but it doesn't require that the nicotinamide riboside be the reason for the 15 Exhibit 1005, the Goldberger reference, correct? 15 16 16 increase. Α 17 17 Q In your opinion, this reference So you don't know what is increasing the 18 anticipates the claims of the '086 patent? 18 NAD+ biosynthesis in the Goldberger reference? 19 19 20 And specifically, if your opinion, the 20 Is it your opinion that milk qualifies as 0 milk disclosed in the Goldberger reference is a 21 a pharmaceutical composition, under the claims of 21 22 pharmaceutical composition of nicotinamide riboside, the '086 patent? 22 23 correct? 23 Under the claims of that patent, yes, According to the pharmaceutical 24 based on the definition provided in that patent. 24 Α definition -- "pharmaceutical composition" And how would you define "pharmaceutical 25 Page 15 Page 17 definition provided in the patent application, then composition" in that patent? 1 1 2 2 My opinion of how it was meant to be yes. 3 We'll go back to the definition. Focusing interpreted in that patent was based on the 3 4 on the milk disclosed in Goldberger, in your dependent claim reciting food, claim number 3, I 4 believe -- one of the dependent claims reciting food 5 opinion, it's the NR, or the nicotinamide riboside 5 in the milk that's the ingredient that's increasing as an example of a pharmaceutical composition that 6 6 7 7 NAD+ biosynthesis, correct? would be suitable. 8 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. 8 Is that definition the same as what you 9 THE WITNESS: I don't think you can prove 9 would understand the plain and ordinary meaning of 10 that from the available data. 10 that term to be to a person of ordinary skill in the BY MS. LUCIA: 11 11 12 Why not? 12 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Relevance. Because there are other precursors in $\ensuremath{\operatorname{milk}}$ 13 13 Foundation. 14 that could contribute to NAD biosynthesis, including 14 THE WITNESS: I would find that term to be 15 too vaque to interpret. I would ask for a 15 nicotinamide and tryptophan. 16 Let's look at paragraph 36 of your 16 clarification, ordinarily, if I heard that 17 declaration, which is on page 19. In the last 17 term. BY MS. LUCIA: 18 sentence of that paragraph, you state: Thus, 18 19 Goldberger, et al. teaches the oral administration 19 You don't have a general view of what the 20 of a composition containing NR that necessarily 20 term "pharmaceutical composition" would mean? increases NAD+ biosynthesis upon oral administration 21 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Relevance. 21 22 as required by claim 5. 22 Foundation. 23 Do you still agree with that 23 THE WITNESS: No, I think to different 24 24 people it can mean different things, and I statement?

25

25

Α

Yes.

would ask for clarification if I was using that

Page 18 Page 20 Relevance. Foundation. term. 1 1 2 BY MS. LUCIA: 2 THE WITNESS: I think generally it would So let's go to paragraph 24 of your 3 be interpreted to always mean something that declaration, on page 15. Sorry. It spills from -doesn't harm the molecule being administered 5 it crosses page 14 and 15, to be clear. 5 and doesn't harm the subject receiving the 6 But the last sentence of paragraph 24 6 treatment. 7 of your declaration, which does appear on 15, says: 7 BY MS. LUCIA: 8 In my opinion, a person of ordinary skill in the art 8 The molecule being administered would be 9 in the relevant timeframe (i.e., mid-2000s) would 9 the active agent of the pharmaceutical composition, 10 have had a Ph.D. in biology, biochemistry or a 10 similar field. 11 11 Yes. 12 12 Do you still agree with that? MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. 13 13 BY MS. LUCIA: Α Yes. 14 So using that definition, how would a 14 And in this case, that active agent would person of ordinary skill in the art define 15 be nicotinamide riboside, correct? 15 16 "pharmaceutical composition" as it appears in the 16 Yes. '086 patent? 17 17 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. 18 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Foundation. 18 BY MS. LUCIA: 19 It's outside the scope of the opinion. 19 Continuing, again, to think about your 20 THE WITNESS: Again, I think people of 20 definition of a person of ordinary skill in the art, 21 skill in the art would have the same confusion do you think that person of ordinary skill in the that I do, in that that term has been used to 22 art would think that milk qualified as a 22 23 mean very different things, and they would ask 23 pharmaceutical composition? for clarification. 24 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 24 THE WITNESS: I think they would have the BY MS. LUCIA: 25 Page 19 Page 21 1 Clarification with respect to what? 1 same question that I did, which was --2 MR. YOUNKIN: I don't want to interfere requiring clarification for whether or not 2 3 something like that would fit within the with the deposition. I just have a running 3 4 objection to this line of questioning. 4 definition, because it could depend on who 5 5 you're talking to and what day, and they would MS. LUCIA: Okay. 6 THE WITNESS: In terms of what would 6 look for support, as you may find in this 7 7 actually constitute a pharmaceutical patent, for what definition was intended in a 8 composition. 8 given document. 9 I've heard it used to mean formulations 9 BY MS. LUCIA: 10 that are suitable for intravenous injection or 10 But in your opinion, you think that food to be defined more broadly to include things is a pharmaceutical composition in the context of 11 11 12 like food. 12 the '086 patent, correct? 13 And I don't think that is consistent 13 Α Yes. 14 enough for one of skill in the art to be 14 Does that cover all food? confident, without clarification. MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 15 15 BY MS. LUCIA: 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe so. 16 17 When you say that you've heard it to mean 17 BY MS. LUCIA: formulations that are suitable for intravenous So there are no exceptions that you can 18 18 19 injection, you mean to humans, correct? 19 think of to food that would qualify as a 20 Α 20 pharmaceutical composition of the '086 patent? So generally speaking, are there any 21 21 If you'll give me a moment just to verify 22 elements of the term "pharmaceutical composition" 22 my memory, but I believe -that you think are universal across the definition The dependent claim actually recites 23 23 24 of that term? 24 food, so I think that, yes, any food would qualify. 25 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 25 Thinking about milk specifically, in your

Page 22 Page 24 opinion, milk is a food, correct? vague to provide a definition without further 1 1 2 Α Yes. 2 clarification. 3 0 So does all milk qualify as a 3 0 Do you have an opinion on the definition pharmaceutical composition under the '086 patent? of "carrier" as it appears in the '086 patent? 4 4 5 Α 5 I guess just that it doesn't really 6 Q Are there any limitations to that at all? clarify much for me. I don't see how that 7 None that I can think of. 7 definition excludes very much. Α 8 For example, does it matter what the fat 8 What do you mean by "it doesn't exclude 9 content of the milk is? 9 very much"? 10 Can you remind me where it is? 10 Α Does it matter how old the milk is? I can. You're looking for the discussion 11 0 11 No, not for it to be defined as a food. I 12 of "carrier" in the '086 patent? 12 mean, unless it has actually spoiled and would be 13 Α 13 Yes. harmful to the person taking it. I believe you'll find that in column 28 of 14 14 So in that case, spoiled milk would not be 15 15 the '086 patent. 16 a pharmaceutical composition under the '086 patent? 16 All right. So the patent recites that 17 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 17 examples of a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier 18 Relevance. Foundation. 18 can be a liquid or solid filler, a diluent, an 19 THE WITNESS: Right. Based on it being so 19 excipient, or a solvent-encapsulating material. 20 spoiled that it caused harm, I think that would 20 So, to me, that covers almost any --21 be where it crossed the line, when it became you know, between a liquid and a solid being 22 harmful to the subject. examples, it covers almost anything you could mix in 22 23 BY MS. LUCIA: 23 with a molecule. So I don't really find anything in 24 that definition to make me exclude any formulation 24 And chewing gum, on its own, also qualifies as a pharmaceutical composition, in your as a carrier. Page 23 Page 25 opinion? 1 You said that you don't "find anything in 2 Yes. Again, for the same reason, based on that definition to make me exclude any formulation Α 2 it being recited in the dependent claim. 3 as a carrier"? 4 If we could go to paragraph 30 of your 4 What do you mean by "any formulation declaration, please. You'll find that on page 16. 5 5 as a carrier"? Are you there? 6 I mean any other molecules present, mixed 6 7 Α 7 with the nicotinamide riboside. It would be hard Yes. 8 This paragraph just provides your overview 8 for me to read this definition and say that any 9 of the '086 patent, correct? 9 other molecule mixed with it doesn't qualify as a 10 10 carrier. 11 And at the bottom of that page, you talk 11 0 You're referring to the nicotinamide specifically about claim 3 and that it depends [sic] 12 riboside because that's the active agent in the '086 12 from claim 1, correct? 13 patent, correct? 13 14 Α Yes. 14 That's correct. 15 And you state that claim 3 identifies 15 I'm going to give you a few more documents different forms that the NR-containing formulation here. So I've given you Exhibit 1017, which we've 16 16 17 can take, correct? 17 referred to as the Tummala reference, correct? 18 18 You're familiar with that reference? 19 And the formulation that you're referring 19 20 to there, in paragraph 30, is the pharmaceutical 20 Α And I've given you Exhibit 1018, which composition of claim 1, where nicotinamide riboside 21 21 22 is the active agent, correct? 22 we've referred to as the Canto reference, correct? 23 Α Yes. 23 Α 24 24 You're familiar with that reference? Q What is your definition of a "carrier"? Q 25 Again, I find that to be a term that's too 25

Page 26 Page 28 And the nicotinamide riboside that was 1 And I've given you Exhibit 1019, which 1 2 we've referred to as the Gong reference, correct? 2 given to the mice in the Tummala reference, it was 3 not fed to them as an ingredient in milk, correct? You're familiar with that reference? That's correct. Q 4 5 Α 5 0 And there's no data in the Tummala 6 So if we could turn to paragraph 13 of 6 reference regarding the activity of nicotinamide 7 your declaration, please. 7 riboside in milk, correct? 8 In the first sentence of that 8 Α Correct. 9 paragraph, you state that nicotinamide riboside, 9 Looking now at Exhibit 1018, the Canto taken orally, contributes to NAD+ synthesis. 10 reference, this reference published in 2012, 10 Did I read that correctly? correct? 11 11 12 12 I'm sorry. Was that paragraph 13 or Α Correct. page -- I went to page 13. 13 This reference also provides some data 13 regarding nicotinamide riboside, correct? 14 0 It's on page 8. 14 15 15 Α Yes. Α Yes. 16 And your statement in that first sentence 16 But it also was not -- the nicotinamide 17 17 is based on the data presented in Tummala, Canto and riboside used in the Canto reference was not used as 18 Gong, correct? 18 part of milk, correct? 19 And many other studies. Those are three 19 20 20 And there's no data in Canto regarding the examples. 0 21 "Many other studies." Can you tell me 21 activity of nicotinamide riboside in milk, correct? 22 what those are? 22 Correct. 23 Not off the top of my head, but I recently 23 Looking at Exhibit 1019, please, the Gong wrote a review that covered, you know, maybe 30 24 reference, the Gong reference published in 2013, 24 different papers that showed an increase in NAD correct? Page 27 Page 29 biosynthesis from oral administration. 1 1 2 I'm sorry. Did you say that you wrote a Q The Gong reference also includes data 2 review or you read a review? regarding nicotinamide riboside fed to mice, 3 I wrote a review. 4 correct? 4 Α 5 5 I'm trying to remember the route of 0 Wrote a review. administration for this one. I might need a moment. But you didn't rely on any of those 6 6 7 7 other references described in that review for 0 That's fine. Sorry. I found it. It's in the drinking 8 purposes of your declaration in front of you, 8 Α 9 correct? 9 water in this reference. 10 Right. They were not necessary. 10 So the nicotinamide riboside that they So for purposes of your declaration, the gave to the mice was not as an ingredient in milk, 11 11 only documents that you're relying on, specifically 12 correct? 12 in paragraph 13, are the Tummala, Canto and Gong 13 13 Correct. 14 references, correct? There were no other compounds added to the -- excuse me -- no other compounds that were 15 Α 15 16 Looking at the Tummala reference, added to the water, other than nicotinamide, 16 17 Exhibit 1017, this reference also published after 17 correct? the '086 patent issued, correct? Correct. Nicotinamide riboside. 18 18 Α MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Relevance. 19 Excuse me. Yes. Thank you. Nicotinamide 19 Q 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 riboside. 21 BY MS. LUCIA: 21 So there's no data in the Gong 22 And in this Tummala reference, it reports 22 reference regarding the activity of nicotinamide 23 the results of supplying mice with a nicotinamide 23 riboside in milk, correct? 24 riboside diet, correct? 24 Α Correct. 25 Α Yes. 25 Looking at the last sentence of paragraph

Page 32 Page 30 13 in your declaration -- it's on page 9 -- you 1 is taken orally? 1 2 state that -- you state: Moreover, several studies, 2 Α It could, but I don't know. I don't have including Trammell II, have taken the additional 3 evidence to prove that. step of incorporating stable isotopes into NR before 4 If we could turn to paragraph 15 of your 5 dosing, allowing a definitive demonstration that the 5 declaration, please, there's a sentence here in orally administered NR is ultimately incorporated 6 paragraph 15 that states: The primary forms of the 7 into NAD+ molecules rather than causing an increase 7 disease are curable by provision of any precursor 8 indirectly. 8 molecule that can be used to synthesize NAD+, i.e., 9 9 nicotinamide, nicotinic acid, tryptophan, or Do you see that? 10 10 nicotinamide riboside (or nicotinic acid riboside). Α The sentence says "several studies," but 11 11 Do you see that? you only cite to Trammell II, correct? 12 12 Α Correct. Um, well, and figure 7, which 13 And the disease that you're referring to 13 14 may be derived from the same data. 14 there is pellagra, correct? But that's figure 7 of Trammell II, 15 15 Α Yes. 16 correct? 16 So in your opinion, pellagra can be cured 17 17 Α Yes. You're correct. I'm sorry. with any amount of any of those NAD+ precursor 18 So when you say "several studies," are 18 molecules? 19 there any other studies that you are relying on for 19 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. 20 your conclusion that the orally administered NR is 20 THE WITNESS: No, not with any amount. ultimately incorporated into NAD+ molecules rather 21 With -- meeting a certain minimum daily 21 22 than causing an increase indirectly? 22 requirement. 23 Α We've done several studies in my own lab 23 BY MS. LUCIA: that confirm this. 24 Do you know what those daily requirements 24 25 But those aren't cited here in your are? Page 31 Page 33 declaration, correct? 1 Not off the top of my head, no. 2 Δ Correct. Are there specific daily requirements for 2 3 Were you relying on those for purposes of each of those precursor molecules? 3 4 making that conclusion in the declaration? Yes. They would be different for 4 nicotinamide and -- nicotinamide and nicotinic acid 5 No, I don't think they were necessary. I 5 think the Trammell reference covers it. would be similar to each other, but different from 6 6 7 The information regarding NR being 7 tryptophan. 8 incorporated into NAD+ molecules, that information 8 So if a given precursor molecule met the 9 is not in the Tummala reference, correct? 9 certain minimum daily requirement, pellagra could be 10 That's correct. 10 cured regardless of what form they take? And it is not found in the Canto 11 11 Α Yes. 12 reference, correct? 12 Is that also regardless of whether or not 13 that precursor molecule is bound to any other 13 Α Correct. 14 Q And it is not found in the Gong reference, 14 molecule? correct? 15 15 As long as it's not bound to another Correct. 16 molecule that it can't be released from in the body. 16 Α 17 Looking at paragraph 14 of your 17 Can you give me an example of that? declaration, please, the first sentence there says: For example, if it was covalently bonded 18 18 to another chemical that the body didn't recognize 19 The bioavailability of NR taken orally is as great 19 20 or greater than that of nicotinic acid or 20 or couldn't process, it might be inactivated. nicotinamide. 21 Are there particular molecules that you 21 22 Do you see that? 22 can think of that nicotinamide riboside covalently 23 Α Yes. 23 binds to? 24 24 Does the -- in your opinion, does the MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. bioavailability of NR depend on the form in which it 25 THE WITNESS: The obvious example I could

Page 34 Page 36 give is phosphate. In the actual pathway to 1 1 nicotinamide riboside's effectiveness to treat 2 NAD biosynthesis, it gets covalently attached 2 pellagra? 3 to a phosphate. But that is not an 3 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. inactivating reaction. That actually helps it THE WITNESS: No, I don't know the answer 4 4 5 become NAD. 5 to that. 6 BY MS. LUCIA: 6 BY MS. LUCIA: 7 7 Do you have an opinion on what kinds of Do you know whether nicotinamide riboside 8 binds to any other molecules that create an 8 meats are sufficient to prevent and treat pellagra? 9 inactivating reaction? 9 No. Again, I can't think of any case I don't know of any examples. 10 where I've seen a distinction made on what kind of 10 meat was used in these studies. 11 So you don't know whether or not it does 11 12 For example, you don't know if it's only 12 bind to any molecules in that way to create an inactivating reaction? 13 red meat? 13 14 I don't know. I presume such molecules 14 Α That's correct. I don't know. exist, because, you know, these are chemicals and 15 When treating pellagra with diet 15 16 you can find molecules that will bind to just about 16 modification, as you refer to in paragraph 15, is it important to include both milk and meat for that 17 anything. But I can't give you a good example. 17 18 To the extent such a molecule existed, 18 purpose? 19 that would also exist in the body, for example? 19 I think, according to the studies of 20 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 20 Goldberger, it probably is not, if you use a high 21 THE WITNESS: I don't have direct evidence enough quantity of either one in isolation. But the 22 that there is a molecule in the body that would 22 recommendation, as given, is to always include both. 23 inactivate nicotinamide riboside. 23 MS. LUCIA: Do you mind if we take a quick BY MS. LUCIA: 24 break, please? 24 25 The last sentence of paragraph 15 of your (Brief recess.) Page 35 Page 37 declaration states: Although symptomatic cases BY MS. LUCIA: 1 today would be treated with purified precursors in So before the break, we were looking at 2 2 addition to diet modification, a diet rich in milk paragraph 15. I'd like to stay there, if you still 3 and meat is sufficient to prevent and, in many 4 5 5 cases, treat pellagra, and improvement in diet We talked about the meat that you quality with particular attention to these 6 reference in paragraph 15, and I asked you about 6 7 components is the primary recommendation for at-risk 7 whether or not the nicotinamide riboside present in meat binds to any other molecule, right? 8 populations. 8 9 Do you see that? 9 Α Right. 10 Α Yes. 10 Do you recall that? 11 When you say "purified precursors," that 11 (Indicating.) 12 means an NAD+ precursor molecule without any other 12 I didn't ask you about milk. So do you 13 molecules, correct? 13 know if the nicotinamide riboside in milk binds to 14 Yeah -- at least enriched. There could be 14 any other molecule? other molecules in the mixture; for instance, to I know, from the Trammell reference in 15 15 compound it into a pill. 2016, that nicotinamide riboside does bind to 16 16 Do you know what the amount of 17 17 components of milk, but not which components. 0 nicotinamide riboside in meat is? 18 Do you know whether the binding that 18 occurs is a covalent bond, like you mentioned 19 I don't know. 19 20 Do you know whether nicotinamide riboside 20 earlier? binds to any other molecules within meat? 21 I don't know that, but I presume not. 21 22 Α I don't know. 22 Why do you presume not? Q So you also wouldn't know whether or not 23 23 Because that's a rare thing to have Α 24 the binding of nicotinamide riboside to some other 24 happen. And in a case where you can measure free molecule in meat would have an impact on 25 nicotinamide riboside and detect that it's present

Page 38 Page 40 in the milk, if it was covalently binding to 1 THE WITNESS: I mean -- I'm actually 1 something, I think you would get other degradation 2 paraphrasing what was said by the authors in products available in the mass spectrum, and you 3 some of their later papers, where they reviewed would probably see it. this work, and it was their opinion that, based 4 4 5 Do you know whether or not nicotinamide 5 on the many dietary modifications they had made 6 riboside binds to any molecule in milk to create an 6 in people, that meat and milk were most likely 7 inactivating reaction? 7 the modifications that were correlating with 8 I don't know that. 8 pellagra prevention. 9 I'm going to give you two more references. 9 But at that time, they were not able to 10 So I gave you Exhibit 1020, which you refer to in 10 conclusively state that. your declaration as the "Prevention of Pellagra" 11 11 BY MS. LUCIA: 12 So "active ingredients" in this context 12 reference, correct? 13 It's on page 6 of your declaration. 13 means an active ingredient of a diet, not an active It has the list, and Exhibit 1020 has that 14 14 ingredient of a pharmaceutical composition, right? reference, but you can confirm that. 15 15 Α Yes. 16 Α 16 In the last sentence of paragraph 16, you Yes. 17 Q And you're familiar with Exhibit 1020? 17 talk about the study reported in Exhibit 1021, 18 Α 18 correct? 19 And I also gave you Exhibit 1021, which 19 20 has been referred to, quote, "Relation of Diet to 20 0 And as part of that Exhibit 1021, they Pellagra Incidence, " end quote. Correct? revealed that households receiving a pint of milk or 21 21 22 Α 30 grams of fresh meat per adult were at 22 23 You're familiar with that reference? 23 substantially reduced risk of pellagra and that the risk further decreased with increased access to 24 24 Α If we can turn to paragraph 16 of your 0 either of these foods. Page 39 Page 41 declaration, which is on page 10, and paragraph 16 1 Do you see that? 2 discusses both Exhibit 1020 and Exhibit 1021, 2 Α Yes. Do you know how much nicotinamide riboside 3 correct? 3 4 Α 4 is present in a pint of milk? Yes. 5 Looking specifically at Exhibit 1020, that I don't know. 5 Α does not report any information about the amount of Earlier we talked a little bit about 6 6 0 7 nicotinamide riboside in meat, correct? 7 recommended daily amounts of some of the NAD 8 Correct. 8 precursor molecules, correct? 9 0 And it also does not report any 9 Α Yes. 10 information about the amount of nicotinamide 10 And you stated that you don't know what riboside in milk, correct? the actual recommended daily amounts of those 11 11 12 Α Correct. 12 precursors would be, correct? In fact, Exhibit 1020 doesn't disclose 13 Right. 13 0 14 nicotinamide riboside at all, correct? 14 So do you know whether or not the amount of nicotinamide riboside in a pint of milk would be 15 15 16 On the top of page 11, the first full more or less than the recommended daily amount of 17 sentence there, you say: Meat and milk were 17 nicotinamide riboside? suspected to be the active ingredients, but the MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. 18 18 THE WITNESS: I couldn't say for sure 19 design of the study did not conclusively test this 19 20 hypothesis. 20 without looking up the RDA and then actually 21 21 reading the Trammell reference and calculating. Do you see that? 22 22 BY MS. LUCIA: Α 23 What -- "active ingredient" seems like a 23 But that would be possible to do? 24 24 That would be possible to do, yes. strange phrase there. What do you mean by that? Α 25 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection to form. 25 Similarly, do you know how much

Page 44 Page 42 nicotinamide riboside is present in 30 grams of 1 Based on the Trammell reference, yeah, I 1 2 fresh meat? 2 could give an approximation. Δ I don't know. 3 You would use the data reported in the Trammell reference against the reported recommended And do you know whether the amount of 4 5 nicotinamide riboside in 30 grams of fresh meat 5 daily allowance of nicotinamide riboside? 6 would be more or less than the recommended daily 6 Α Yeah. 7 allowance of nicotinamide riboside? 7 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 8 I don't know. I don't know of a suitable 8 THE WITNESS: There is no recommended 9 reference to quantify the amount of nicotinamide 9 daily allowance for nicotinamide riboside, but riboside in the meat, so I'm not sure I could even 10 there is for total niacin. 10 calculate that. BY MS. LUCIA: 11 11 So different from with the milk, you 12 How would you calculate the total daily 12 wouldn't be able to calculate it for the meat? 13 allowance for nicotinamide riboside? 13 14 Α That's right. 14 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. Exhibit 1021 doesn't report any 15 THE WITNESS: I would infer, based on what 15 16 information about the amount of nicotinamide 16 we know about its metabolism, that you could riboside in milk, correct? 17 17 give the number of moles -- the same number of 18 Α Correct. 18 molecules of nicotinamide riboside as would be 19 It also doesn't report any information 19 contained in the recommended daily allowance 20 about the amount of nicotinamide riboside in meat, 20 for niacin. 21 correct? 21 BY MS. LUCIA: 22 22 Do you know, then, whether the amount of Δ Correct. 23 And, in fact, Exhibit 1021 doesn't contain 23 nicotinamide riboside in 30 cc's of milk per any disclosure of nicotinamide riboside, correct? 24 kilogram is more or less than the recommended daily 24 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. 25 allowance of nicotinamide riboside? Page 45 Page 43 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 1 I don't know. 1 BY MS. LUCIA: 0 You don't know without calculating, 2 2 If we could turn to paragraph 21, which correct? 4 you'll find on page 13, spanning over to page 14 of Correct. 4 Α your declaration. I'd like to focus specifically on Exhibit 1005, the Goldberger reference, 5 5 0 the language that's on page 14 in that paragraph. does not contain any identification of nicotinamide 6 6 7 And here you're talking about the 7 riboside, correct? 8 results reported in the Goldberger reference, 8 Α 9 correct? 9 0 And Exhibit 1005, the Goldberger 10 Correct. 10 reference, also does not contain any identification Α 11 0 And that's Exhibit 1005, correct? 11 of NAD+, correct? 12 12 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form. Α THE WITNESS: That's correct. And you quote here: It may be concluded, 13 13 Q 14 therefore, that milk contains the black tongue 14 BY MS. LUCIA: preventive, but that somewhat more than 30 cc daily 15 15 The Goldberger reference discloses -- or per kilogram of body weight, at least of skim milk, discusses feeding milk to dogs, correct? 16 17 may be needed to secure complete protection when 17 used to supplement such a basic diet as our No. 123, And in your view, that reference and its 18 18 19 end quote. 19 disclosures anticipate claim 1 of the '086 patent, 20 Do you see that? 20 correct? 21 21 Α Yes. Α Yes. 22 Do you know how much nicotinamide riboside 22 If there were a prior art reference that described a child drinking a glass of milk, would 23 is present in 30 cc's of milk? 23 24 Again, no. Not without calculating it. 24 that also anticipate claim 1 of the '086 patent? Α 25 But you would be able to calculate that? 25 MR. YOUNKIN: Objection.

```
Page 46
                                                                                                              Page 48
                                                                      take another break so that I can think about my
               THE WITNESS: No.
                                                             1
1
2
   BY MS. LUCIA:
                                                             2
                                                                      redirect.
         0
               Why not?
                                                             3
                                                                           (Off the record.)
               If I can refer back to the claim for a
                                                                           (Brief recess.)
         Α
                                                             4
5
    second, just to make sure I say this correctly.
                                                             5
6
                    So I don't have a good answer to
                                                                                     EXAMINATION
7
    that. I think I was going to say because it
                                                             7
8
    required that there was NAD synthesis, which is not
                                                             8
                                                                BY MR. YOUNKIN:
9
    true for claim 1. So as it's written for claim 1,
                                                             9
                                                                           Dr. Baur, if you could turn to page 8 of
    that might anticipate, yes.
                                                            10
                                                                your declaration, which I believe we've been calling
10
               I think, in your answer, you were going to
                                                                 Exhibit 1002, you recall that there was some
11
                                                           11
    say something about claim 5?
                                                                 questions about this paragraph during your
12
                                                            12
13
               MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form.
                                                            13
                                                                 cross-examination?
               THE WITNESS: I was trying to --
                                                                           MS. LUCIA: I'm sorry. My feed isn't
14
                                                            14
15
               MR. YOUNKIN: I just want to know what the
                                                           15
                                                                      working. What page did you say?
16
                                                            16
                                                                          MR. YOUNKIN: Page 8 of the report,
          question is.
    BY MS. LUCIA:
17
                                                            17
                                                                      paragraph 13.
18
               Sorry. I was confused, 'cause since we
                                                            18
                                                                           (Brief recess.)
19
    had to get the rest of the language, I think that
                                                            19
                                                                           MS. LUCIA: It's back.
20
     the part where you talk about NAD synthesis didn't
                                                            20
                                                                BY MR. YOUNKIN:
21
                                                            21
                                                                           Do you recall that there were some
22
                                                            22
                                                                questions earlier today regarding paragraph 13 of
                    So let me ask it this way: So I
    understand your answer to be that, if there were a
                                                            23
                                                                 your declaration?
24
    prior art reference that described a child drinking
                                                            24
                                                                      Α
                                                                           Yes.
    a glass of milk, that that would anticipate claim 1
                                                                           And the first sentence of paragraph 13
                                                 Page 47
                                                                                                              Page 49
    of the '086 patent, correct?
                                                                 says that nicotinamide riboside taken orally
2
               Yes. Again, I'm not a lawyer, but my
                                                                 contributes to NAD+ synthesis, right?
                                                             2
    understanding of how anticipation works is that that
                                                             3
    would cause anticipation.
                                                             4
                                                                           And then you were asked some questions
4
5
               What about claim 5; would it anticipate
                                                                 about the studies that are referenced, in the next
                                                             5
6
    claim 5?
                                                             6
                                                                 sentence of that paragraph, right?
                                                             7
7
               MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form.
                                                                      Α
                                                                           That's correct.
               THE WITNESS: No, it would not.
                                                             8
8
                                                                           Then in, I guess it's the third sentence
9
    BY MS. LUCIA:
                                                            9
                                                                of paragraph 13, you refer to Trammell II, right?
10
               Why not?
                                                            10
                                                           11
11
               Because there's no proof that the milk
                                                                           So can you explain whether and to what
12
     drunk by that child was used to synthesize NAD.
                                                            12
                                                                 extent Trammell II documents that nicotinamide
               What if the book said that the child was
                                                            13
                                                                 riboside taken orally contributes to NAD+ synthesis?
13
14
    given the milk for insomnia?
                                                            14
                                                                           So the nicotinamide riboside given in
               MR. YOUNKIN: Objection. Form.
                                                                 Trammell II contains stable isotopes. So heavier --
15
                                                            15
16
               THE WITNESS: I don't think that would
                                                                 stable isotopes, so that in a mass spectrometer, you
17
          change anything.
                                                            17
                                                                 can detect the difference, even though the molecule
               MS. LUCIA: I'm just going to take five
                                                                 is chemically identical.
18
                                                            18
          minutes off the record to see if we have
19
                                                            19
                                                                                And so what was done in Trammell II,
20
          anything else.
                                                            2.0
                                                                which was not done in those other references, was to
                                                                use this labeled form of nicotinamide riboside and
               MR. YOUNKIN: Okay.
                                                            21
21
22
               (Brief recess.)
                                                                 then detect those same labels appearing in the NAD.
                                                                 So that proved that the actual molecule of
23
               MS. LUCIA: I don't have any more
                                                           23
24
                                                            24
                                                                nicotinamide riboside that was administered ended up
          questions.
25
               MR. YOUNKIN: I'm going to ask that we
                                                            25
                                                                 in the NAD pool in the tissue.
```

Page 50 Page 52 1 Q Administered orally? 1 Α Yes. 2 Α Yes. 2 So I'd like to direct your attention to So in addition to the articles mentioned 3 the next page, actually, column 29. And the first in the second sentence of paragraph 13, Trammell II sentence of this says: Examples of materials which 4 5 also documents that nicotinamide riboside taken 5 can serve as carriers include sugars, such as 6 orally contributes to NAD+ synthesis? 6 lactose, glucose and sucrose. 7 That's correct. 7 Α Do you see that? 8 There was some questions earlier today 8 Yes. 9 about molecules that bind to NR. Do you recall 9 MS. LUCIA: Objection to the extent it 10 does not accurately reflect the language in the 10 patent. The sentence is much longer than what 11 Α 11 is currently on the record. 12 Q And I just want to make sure I understand 12 13 MR. YOUNKIN: Okay. Shall I read the 13 your testimony. 14 So you're not aware of any molecule 14 whole sentence? in milk that binds with NR to create an inactivating MS. LUCIA: Just stating the objection. 15 15 16 reaction, right? 16 You can do however you'd like. It's --17 Α That's correct. 17 MR. YOUNKIN: No, no, I understand. 18 0 You mentioned, I believe, that the 18 BY MR. YOUNKIN: 19 Trammell article that's Exhibit 1007 -- why don't we 19 All right. I'll give it a go. 20 pull that up -- this article mentions that there is 20 All right. So the first sentence of a molecule that binds to the NR in milk, is that --21 column 29 says: Examples of materials which can 21 or that binds to NR, rather? 22 22 serve as carriers include sugars, such as lactose, 23 Α 23 glucose and sucrose; starches, such as corn starch And you said that you presume that that 24 and potato starch; cellulose and its derivatives, 24 Q bond is not a covalent bond. Can you explain why such as sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, ethyl

Page 51

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

19

20

21

that is?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13 14

15 **16**

17

18

19

20

21

22

A Because that would be an unusual thing to see in milk. Since you can detect free nicotinamide riboside, there is probably not a molecule in there that was covalently attaching to it or that would have made a lot of the nicotinamide riboside disappear from the signal in the mass spectrometer.

And typically, if either the nicotinamide riboside was meant to be available as a precursor vitamin, which is the hypothetical purpose in the milk, you know, inactivating it, obviously, would be detrimental. You'd be covalently modifying it in a way that would make it no longer the same -- it would be detrimental to its activity as a vitamin.

Q Even if there was a covalent bond, that wouldn't necessarily inactivate the NR, right?

A That's correct.

Q There was some discussion of the -- discussion of carriers in the '086 patent, earlier today. So I'd like to direct your attention to the patent, which is Exhibit 1001.

23 And then you'll recall that, earlier 24 today, there was a discussion of the end of column 25 28 of this patent? Page 53 cellulose and cellulose acetate; powdered

tragacanth; malt; gelatin; talc; excipients, such as cocoa butter and suppository waxes; oils, such as peanut oil and cottonseed oil, safflower oil, sesame oil, olive oil, corn oil and soybean oil; glycols, such as propylene glycol; polyols, such as glycerin,

7 sorbitol, mannitol, and polyethylene glycol; esters,
8 such as ethyl oleate and ethyl laurate; agar;

9 buffering agents, such as magnesium hydroxide and

aluminum hydroxide; alginic acid, pyrogen-free
water, isotonic saline; Ringer's solution; ethyl
alcohol; pH buffered solutions; polyesters;

polycarbonates and/or polyanhydrides; and other non-toxic compatible substances employed in formulations.

It goes on to say: Wetting agents, emulsifiers and lubricants, such as sodium lauryl sulfate and magnesium stearate, as well as coloring agents, release agents, coating agents, sweetening flavor and perfuming agents, preservatives and antioxidants can also be present in the

Page 56 Page 54 Yes, there are. 1 Α Yes. 1 Α 2 Q Can you give me an example? 2 Q Can you find the part of Trammell I that 3 Α 3 says that? 4 Is that sugar present in the milk that 4 Α It is in the abstract, under Results, the 5 Goldberger fed the dogs in the article we've been 5 first sentence. 6 discussing today? 6 Q That was the authors' conclusion, based on 7 7 the data that they were analyzing, right? Α Yes. 8 I'd like to return to Trammell I, which is 8 9 our Exhibit 1007. 9 MR. YOUNKIN: I'd like to just take two 10 10 So earlier today, there were some minutes, and I'm done. questions about the different concentrations of NR (Brief recess.) 11 11 that were found in the milk that was sampled in this 12 12 MR. YOUNKIN: I have no further questions. article. Do you recall those questions? 13 MS. LUCIA: No further questions. 13 14 Α 14 (Witness excused.) 15 Was there any sample of milk tested in the 15 (Deposition concluded at 11:24 a.m.) 16 Trammell article where nicotinamide riboside was 16 17 absent? 17 18 Α 18 19 So the NR was quantified in all samples 19 20 that were tested? 20 Yes. To the degree I can find the data 21 21 presented. In many cases, it's an average, so I can 22 22 23 only presume that that's true. 23 24 Let me -- I'll direct your attention, for 24 example, to the second column of page 3 of this 25 Page 55 Page 57 1 article, and you see that the paragraph, this CERTIFICATE paragraph here, pointing to the top paragraph on the 2 3 right-hand column --COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 4 MS. LUCIA: The first full paragraph? 5 5 MR. YOUNKIN: Correct. COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA BY MR. YOUNKIN: 6 7 8 7 -- as shown in table 1, in all 19 samples, I, MAUREEN BRODERICK, Registered 9 nicotinamide and NR and no other NAD+ metabolite 8 10 Professional Reporter - Notary Public, within and 9 were quantifiable. Do you see that? for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, do hereby 11 10 Α certify that the proceedings, evidence, and 12 11 So the NR was quantifiable in all of those 13 objections noted are contained fully and accurately 12 samples that were tested, correct? in the notes taken by me of the preceding 14 15 deposition, and that this copy is a correct 13 Yes, that's correct. 16 transcript of the same. 14 And that's true of the samples that were 17 15 also tested in the data shown in table 3 of the 18 article, right? Table 3. It's the next page. 16 19 17 Α Yes. mauren Suder 20 In your declaration -- this is on 18 paragraph 11 -- you note, at the bottom of paragraph 19 21 MAUREEN BRODERICK 20 11, that Trammell I states that the data presented 22 in the article show that approximately 40 percent of 21 Registered Professional 22 niacin equivalents, excluding tryptophan, in cow's 23 23 milk are present as NR, with the remainder present Reporter - Notary Public 24 as nicotinamide. 25 Do you see that? 25

	Page 58	
1	1490 30	
2	ERRATA SHEET	
3	Attach to Deposition of: Joseph A. Baur, Ph.D.	
	Taken on: April 26, 2018	
4	In the matter of: Elysium Health vs. Trustees of	
	Dartmouth College	
5		
6		
7	PAGE LINE NO. CHANGE REASON	
8		
9		
10		
11 12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1	Page 59	
2	SIGNATURE PAGE	
3	SIGNATURE FAGE	
4		
5		
6	I hereby acknowledge that I have	
7 8	read the aforegoing transcript, dated April 26, 2018, and the same is a true and correct	
9		
	transcription of the answers given by me to the questions propounded, except for the changes, if	
10		
11	any, noted on the Errata Sheet.	
10		
12		
13		
13 14		
13 14 15		
13 14 15 16		
13 14 15 16 17		
13 14 15 16 17	SIGNATURE:	
13 14 15 16 17	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D.	
13 14 15 16 17	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D. DATE:	
13 14 15 16 17 18	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D. DATE:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D. DATE:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D. DATE:	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIGNATURE: JOSEPH A. BAUR, Ph.D. DATE:	

0	16 23:5 38:25 39:1 40:16	8	adult 40:22 afraid 11:21	approximatio
	19 15:17 55:7		agar 53:8	arrow 9:21
086 5:3 7:6,13 14:18 16:22 18:17 21:12,20 22:4,16	2	8 26:14 48:9,16	agent 20:9,14 23:22 25:12	art 17:11 18:8,15 21 19:14 20:20,22
23:9 24:4,12,15		9	agents 53:9,16,	45:22 46:24
25:12 27:18 45:19, 24 47:1 51:20	2012 28:10 2013 28:24	9 30:1	19,20 agree 11:9 14:7,9	article 7:5 13:13 50:19,20 54:5,13, 55:1,16,21
1	2016 37:16	Α	15:23 18:12	articles 50:3
<u> </u>	21 43:3		ahead 11:1	at-risk 35:7
23:13,21 45:19,24	24 18:3,6	a.m. 56:15	alcohol 53:12	attached 34:2
46:9,25 55:7	28 24:14 51:25	Abramic 4:12	alginic 53:10	attaching 51:5
10 39:1 1001 5:2 7:10	29 52:3,21	absent 54:17	allowance 42:7 44:5,9,13,19,25	attention 35:6 51:21 52:2 54:24
51:22	3	abstract 56:4	allowing 30:5	authors 40:2
1002 5:6,15 48:11		acceptable 24:17	aluminum 53:10	authors' 56:6
1005 5:10 13:2 14:15 43:11 45:5,9	3 11:3 17:4 23:12, 15 54:25 55:15,16	access 40:24	amount 7:17 9:18 10:4 11:15,17	average 13:23 54:22
1007 5:20 7:5 50:19 54:9	30 23:4,20 26:24 40:22 42:1,5 43:15,	accurately 52:10	12:1,6,19,25 13:19, 20 32:17,20 35:17	aware 9:24 10:
1017 25:16 27:17	23 44:23	acetate 53:1	39:6,10 41:14,16 42:4,9,16,20 44:22	50:14
018 25:21 28:9	36 15:16 16:8	acid 14:3,5 31:20 32:9,10 33:5 53:10	amounts 41:7,11	В
019 26:1 28:23	4	active 20:9,14	analyzing 56:7	
1020 38:10,14,17		23:22 25:12 39:18,	and/or 53:13	B-A-U-R 4:25
39:2,5,13	40 13:14 55:21	23 40:12,13	anticipate	B3 6:7
021 38:19 39:2 40:17,20 42:15,23	5	activity 9:2,5 10:10 28:6,21 29:22	45:19,24 46:10,25 47:5	back 15:3 46:4 48:19
1 5:25 6:6 8:4 13:11 39:16 55:19, 20	5 15:22 46:12 47:5,	51:14 actual 34:1 41:11	anticipates 14:18	background 6:21
1:24 56:15	6	49:23 added 29:14,16	anticipation 47:3,4	based 16:24 17 22:19 23:2 26:17
23 43:18	6	addition 35:3	antioxidants	40:4 44:1,15 56:6
3 26:6,12,13		50:3	53:21	basic 43:18
27:13 30:1 43:4 48:17,22,25 49:9 50:4	6 38:13	additional 30:3 administered	appearing 49:22 appears 18:16	Baur 4:2,21,25 48:9
4 18:5 31:17 43:4,	7	20:4,8 30:6,20	24:4	beginning 53
6		49:24 50:1	application	bell-shape 10
5 18:4,5,7 32:4,6 34:25 36:16 37:3,6	7 5:25 30:13,15	administration 15:19,21 16:14 27:1 29:6	15:1 approximately 13:14 55:21	bell-shaped 9:14 10:9

Index: bind..cross-examination

bind 34:12,16 37:16 50:9

binding 35:24 37:18 38:1

binds 33:23 34:8 35:21 37:8,13 38:6 50:15,21,22

bioavailability 31:19,25

bioavailable

biochemistry 18:10

biological 8:21 9:1,9

biology 18:10 biosynthesis

12:18,20 15:7,14,21 16:2,13,18 27:1 34:2

bit 12:6 41:6

black 43:14

body 33:16,19 34:19,22 43:16

bond 37:19 50:25 51:16

bonded 33:18

book 47:13

bottom 9:21 23:11 55:19

bound 13:5,9 33:13,15

break 36:24 37:2 48:1

broadly 19:11 buffered 53:12 buffering 53:9 butter 53:3 C

calculate 42:11, 13 43:25 44:12

calculating 41:21 43:24 45:2

calling 48:10

Canto 25:22 26:17 27:13 28:9, 17,20 31:11

carboxymethyl 52:25

carefully 6:7

carrier 23:24 24:4,12,17,25 25:3, 5,10

carriers 51:20 52:5,22

case 6:14 20:14 22:15 36:9 37:24

cases 35:1,5 54:22

caused 22:20

causing 30:7,22 cc's 43:23 44:23

cellulose 52:24, 25 53:1

change 47:17 characterize 8:7,10

charge 9:23

checked 14:10 chemical 33:19

chemically 49:18

chemicals 34:15 chewing 22:24

child 45:23 46:24 47:12,13

cite 30:12

cited 30:25

claim 15:22 17:4 21:23 23:3,12,13, 15,21 45:19,24 46:4,9,12,25 47:5,6

claims 14:18 16:21,23 17:5

clarification

17:16,25 18:24 19:1,15 21:2 24:2

clarify 24:6

clear 18:5

coating 53:19

cocoa 53:3

College 4:11

coloring 53:18

column 11:4 24:14 51:24 52:3,21 54:25 55:3

compare 7:8

comparison

compatible 53:14

complete 43:17 completely 12:7

complies 6:2

components 35:7 37:17

composition

14:22,25 15:20 16:21 17:1,6,20 18:16 19:8,22 20:9, 23 21:11,20 22:4, 16.25 23:21 40:14

compositions 53:22

compound 35:16 compounds 29:14,15

concentration

9:6 10:6,7,21 11:6, 22 14:1,5

concentrationdependent

concentrations

7:23 54:11

concluded 43:13 56:15

conclusion

30:20 31:4 56:6

conclusively 39:19 40:10

confident 19:15

confirm 10:25 30:24 38:15

confused 46:18

confusion 18:21

consistent

constitute 19:7

contained 16:12 44:19

content 22:9

context 21:11 40:12

Continuing 20:19

contribute

CONTRIDUTE 12:10.15 15:14

contributes 26:10 49:2,13 50:6

conventional 7:24

corn 52:23 53:5

corner 7:11

correct 5:16 7:6, 14,18,20,25 8:5 10:18,22 11:12

13:2,3,6,7,9,10,22 14:12,15,23 15:7 16:3 19:19 20:10,15 21:12 22:1 23:9,13, 17,22 25:13,14,17, 22 26:2,18 27:9,14, 18,24 28:3,4,7,8,11, 12,14,18,19,21,22, 25 29:4,12,13,17, 18,23,24 30:12,13, 16,17 31:1,2,9,10, 12,13,15,16 32:14 35:13 36:14 38:12, 21 39:3,7,8,11,12, 14,15 40:18 41:8,12 42:17,18,21,22,24 43:1,9,10,11 45:3,4, 7,8,11,13,16,20 47:1 49:7 50:7,17 51:18 55:5,12,13

correctly 6:10 13:17 26:11 46:5

correlating 40:7

Counsel 9:24

53:4

COURT 4:7 9:21

covalent 37:19 50:25 51:16

covalently

33:18,22 34:2 38:1 51:5,12

cover 21:14

covered 26:24

covers 24:20,22 31:6

COW'S 13:15 55:22

COWS 8:21

create 34:8,12 38:6 50:15

crossexamination

48:13

crossed 22:21 crosses 18:5 curable 32:7 cured 32:16 33:10 curve 9:14 10:3,9

D

daily 32:21,24 33:2,9 41:7,11,16 42:6 43:15 44:5,9, 12,19,24

Dartmouth 4:11

data 12:24 13:13 14:8 15:10 26:17 28:5,13,20 29:2,21 30:14 44:3 54:21 55:15,20 56:7

date 7:7,10 day 9:17 21:5

de 11:12 12:20

declaration 5:7, 16,24 6:1 8:5 13:12 15:17 16:9 18:4,7 23:5 26:7 27:8,11 30:1 31:1,4,18 32:5 35:1 38:11,13 39:1 43:5 48:10,23 55:18

decreased

define 8:1 16:25 18:15

defined 11:5 19:11 22:12

definition 8:12 14:25 15:1,3 16:24 17:8 18:14 19:23 20:20 21:4,7 23:24 24:1,3,7,24 25:2,8

definitive 30:5 degradation 38:2 degree 54:21 demonstration 30:5

depend 21:4 31:25

dependent 17:4,5 21:23 23:3

depends 23:12 deposition 19:3 56:15

derivatives 52:24

derived 30:14 describe 11:7

design 39:19

detect 6:20 37:25 49:17,22 51:3

detectable 6:15 detrimental

51:12,14

diet 27:24 35:3,5 36:15 38:20 40:13 43:18

dietary 40:5

difference 8:8 9:18 49:17

diluent 24:18

direct 34:21 51:21 52:2 54:24

disappear 51:7

disclose 39:13

disclosed 14:21 15:4

discloses 45:15

disclosure

disclosures 45:19

discusses 39:2 45:16

discussing 54:6 discussion 10:1 24:11 51:19,20,24

disease 32:7,13 distinction 36:10

diverted 12:19

document 5:4, 18 21:8

documented 6:8

documents 5:1 25:15 27:12 49:12 50:5

dogs 45:16 54:5

dosing 30:5 doubt 6:22,25

drinking 29:8 45:23 46:24

drunk 47:12 **duly** 4:3

Ε

earlier 37:20 41:6 48:22 50:8 51:20,23 54:10

effectiveness 36:1

elements 19:22 Elysium 4:15

employed 53:14 emulsifiers

end 38:21 43:19 51:24

53:17

ended 49:24 enriched 35:14

equivalents

13:14 55:22

error 6:16,18

esters 53:7

et al 15:19

ethyl 52:25 53:8,

evidence 32:3 34:21

EXAMINATION 4:18 48:6

examined 4:4

examples 24:17, 22 26:20 34:10 52:4,21

exceptions 21:18

excipient 24:19 excipients 53:2

exclude 24:8,24 25:2

excludes 24:7 excluding 13:15 55:22

excuse 29:15,19

excused 56:14

Exhibit 5:2,6,10, 15,20 7:5,10 13:2 14:15 25:16,21 26:1 27:17 28:9,23 38:10,14,17,19 39:2,5,13 40:17,20 42:15,23 43:11 45:5,9 48:11 50:19 51:22 54:9

exist 34:15,19 existed 34:18 expect 9:17 12:5 expected 11:16 explain 49:11

extent 34:18 49:12 52:9

50:25

F

face 7:9 fact 5:15 13:8

39:13 42:23 **fair** 12:20.23

familiar 5:4,13,22 25:19,24 26:4 38:17,23

fat 22:8

fed 28:3 29:3 54:5

feed 48:14

feeding 45:16

field 18:11

figure 30:13,15

filler 24:18

finally 5:10

find 17:14 21:6 23:5,25 24:14,23 25:1 34:16 43:4 54:21 56:2

fine 9:25 29:7

fit 21:3

flavor 53:20

focus 43:5

Focusing 15:3

Foley 4:14

food 17:4,5 19:12 21:10,14,19,24 22:1,12

foods 40:25

forgotten 5:18

form 6:7 9:4 10:12 19:25 20:24 21:15 22:17 31:25 33:10 34:20 36:3 39:25 42:25 44:7,14 45:12 46:13 47:7,15 49:21

forms 23:16 32:6

K

kilogram 43:16

Index: formulation..Lucia

formulation 23:16,19 24:24 25:2.4 formulations 19:9,18 53:15 **found** 11:17 29:8 31:11.14 54:12 Foundation 17:13.22 18:18 20:1 22:18 free 37:24 51:3 fresh 40:22 42:2,5 front 7:8 14:14 27:8 full 4:23 39:16 55:4 G gave 5:1,6 29:11 38:10.19 gelatin 53:2 general 17:19

generally 12:1,

38:9 44:2,17 52:19

glass 45:23 46:25

glucose 52:6,23

glycerin 53:6

glycol 53:6,7

glycols 53:5

Goldberger

Gong 26:2,18

29:2,21 31:14

good 4:21,22

11:20 34:17 46:6

27:13 28:23,24

5:11 13:1 14:15.21

43:8 45:5,9,15 54:5

17 19:21 20:2

54:2

harmful 22:14.22 **He'll** 4:9 head 26:23 33:1 Health 4:15 heard 17:16 19:9. heavier 49:15 **helps** 34:4 high 36:20 **give** 5:18,19 21:21 higher 12:7 13:20 25:15 33:17 34:1,17 **Hoag** 4:15 House 7:24 households 40:21 **humans** 19:19 hydroxide 53:9, hypothesis 39:20 hypothetical 15:4,19 16:18 36:20 51:10 I

i.e 18:9

grams 40:22

great 31:19

gum 22:24

greater 31:20

quess 8:10 24:5

Н

happen 37:24

harm 20:4,5 22:20

hard 25:7

42:1,5

49:8

individuals **i.e.** 32:8 9:14,16 identical 49:18 **infer** 44:15 identification information 45:6,10 31:7,8 39:6,10 identifies 23:15 42:16,19 30:3,12,15 49:9, ingredient 15:6 12,15,19 50:4 16:2 28:3 29:11 39:23 40:13.14 **impact** 9:2 10:10 35:25 ingredients important 36:17 39:18 40:12 improvement injection 19:10, 35:5 19 insomnia 47:14 inactivate 34:23 51:17 instance 35:15 inactivated instruments 33:20 6:16,18,19 inactivating intended 21:7 34:4,9,13 38:7 interfere 19:2 50:15 51:11 Incidence 38:21 interpret 17:15 include 19:11 interpreted 17:3 36:17.22 52:5.22 20:3 includes 29:2 intravenous 19:10,18 including 15:14 **IPR** 5:2,16 30:3 isolation 36:21 incorporated 30:6,21 31:8 isotonic 53:11 incorporating isotopes 30:4 30:4 49:15,16 increase 16:16 **issue** 7:10 26:25 30:7,22 **issued** 7:6,14 increased 40:24 27:18 increases 15:21 16:13 J increasing 15:6 16:2,17 **Jamie** 4:10 independently

44:24 kind 36:10 kinds 36:7 L lab 30:23 labeled 49:21 labels 49:22 **lactose** 52:6,22 54:3 language 43:6 46:19 52:10 laurate 53:8 **lauryl** 53:17 lawyer 47:2 left-hand 11:4 **legal** 8:12 **level** 6:9,13,14,21 levels 8:8.16 limitations 22:6 liquid 24:18,21 list 11:10 38:14 **living** 8:24 9:12 long 33:15 **longer** 51:13 52:11 lot 51:6 lowest 14:1.5 **lubricants** 53:17 **Lucia** 4:10,20 7:21 9:8 10:2.16 11:24 12:13 15:11 16:7 17:18 18:2,25

19:5,16 20:7,13,18

Indicating 37:11

indirectly 30:8,

14:10

22

Jeremy 4:14

Joseph 4:2,25

John 4:12

Index: made..paraphrasing

21:9,17 22:23 27:21 32:23 34:6,24 36:6, 23 37:1 40:11 41:22 43:2 44:11,21 45:14 46:2,17 47:9,18,23 48:14,19 52:9,15 55:4 56:13

M

made 36:10 40:5 51:6

magnesium 53:9,18

make 24:24 25:2 46:5 50:12 51:13

makes 16:10 making 31:4

mannitol 53:7

malt 53:2

marked 5:2

mass 38:3 49:16 51:7

material 24:19 materials 52:4,

matter 22:8.11

meaning 17:9 means 35:12

40:13

meant 6:14,24 17:2 51:9

measure 8:23 9:12 10:20 37:24

measured 10:5. 11,17 13:22

measuring 11:8

meat 35:4,18,21, 25 36:11,13,17 37:5,8 39:7,17 40:6, 22 42:2,5,10,13,20

meats 36:8 meeting 32:21 **memory** 21:22 mentioned 9:2 10:3 37:19 50:3,18

mentions 50:20

met 33:8 metabolism 44:16

metabolite 55:8

mice 27:23 28:2 29:3,11

mid-2000s 18:9

milk 6:9 7:18.24. 25 8:4,9,17,20 9:3, 10 10:5,21 11:15,23 12:2 13:1,5,9,15,21 14:1,6,21 15:4,6,13 16:1,12,13,20 20:22 21:25 22:1,3,9,11, 15 28:3,7,18,21 29:11,23 35:3 36:17 37:12,13,17 38:1,6 39:11,17 40:6,21 41:4,15 42:12,17 43:14,16,23 44:23 45:16,23 46:25 47:11,14 50:15,21 51:3,11 53:25 54:4,

mind 36:23 **minimum** 32:21 33:9

12,15 55:23

minute 10:24 **minutes** 47:19 56:10

mix 24:22 **mixed** 25:6,9 mixture 35:15 **Mm-hmm** 5:9 modification

35:3 36:16

modifications 40:5,7

modifying 51:12 molecule 12:21, 22 13:5,9 20:4,8 24:23 25:9 32:8 33:8,13,14,16 34:18,22 35:12,25 37:8,14 38:6 49:17, 23 50:14,21 51:4

molecules 11:7 13:25 25:6 30:7,21 31:8 32:18 33:3,21 34:8,12,14,16 35:13,15,21 41:8 44:18 50:9

moles 44:17 moment 21:21

morning 4:21,22

Ν

NAD 12:8,18,20 15:14 16:13 26:25 34:2,5 41:7 46:8,20 47:12 49:22,25

NAD+ 10:18 11:5, 11 13:24 15:7,21 16:2,18 26:10 30:7, 21 31:8 32:8,17 35:12 45:11 49:2,13 50:6 55:8

necessarily

15:20 51:17

needed 43:17

niacin 13:14 44:10,20 55:22

nicotinamide

6:6,13,20 7:1,17,23 8:8,16 9:3 10:5,7,10 11:17 12:2,17,22,25 13:4,16,20,21 14:3, 4,22 15:5,15 16:1, 11,15 20:15 23:21 25:7,11 26:9 27:23

28:1,6,14,16,21 29:3,10,16,18,19,22 31:21 32:9,10 33:5, 22 34:7,23 35:18, 20,24 36:1 37:7,13, 16,25 38:5 39:7,10, 14 41:3,15,17 42:1, 5,7,9,16,20,24 43:22 44:5,9,13,18, 23,25 45:6 49:1,12, 14,21,24 50:5 51:3, 6,9 54:16 55:8,24

nicotinic 14:3,5 31:20 32:9.10 33:5

noise 6:21 non-toxic 53:14 normal 8:21

note 55:19

novo 11:12 12:20

NR 6:7 13:16 15:5, 20 30:4,6,20 31:7, 19,25 50:9,15,21,22 51:17 54:11,19 55:8,11,23

NR-**CONTAINING** 23:16

number 17:4 44:17

numbers 14:11

0

objection 7:19 9:4 10:12 11:19 12:3 15:8 16:4 17:12.21 18:18 19:4,25 20:12,17,24 21:15 22:17 27:19 32:19 33:24 34:20 36:3 39:25 41:18 42:25 44:7,14 45:12,25 46:13 47:7,15 52:9,15

obvious 33:25

occurs 37:19 oil 53:4,5

oils 53:3 oleate 53:8

olive 53:5

operates 11:12

opinion 14:17,20 15:5 16:3,20 17:2 18:8,19 21:10 22:1 23:1 24:3 31:24 32:16 36:7 40:4

oral 15:19,21 27:1 **orally** 26:10 30:6, 20 31:19 32:1 49:1, 13 50:1.6

ordinarily 17:16 **ordinary** 17:9,10 18:8,15 20:20,21

organic 7:24 organism 8:24 organisms 9:12 overview 23:8 owner 4:11.13

P

papers 26:25 40:3

paragraph 5:25 6:6 8:4 13:11 15:16, 18 16:8 18:3,6 23:4, 8,20 26:6,9,12 27:13 29:25 31:17 32:4,6 34:25 36:16 37:3,6 38:25 39:1 40:16 43:3,6 48:12, 17,22,25 49:6,9 50:4 55:1,2,4,19

parameter 9:11 paraphrasing 40:2

part 28:18 40:20 46:20 56:2

patent 4:10,12 5:3 7:6,8,9,14 14:18 15:1 16:22,23,24 17:1,3 18:17 21:7, 12,20 22:4,16 23:9 24:4,12,15,16 25:13 27:18 45:19,24 47:1 51:20,22,25 52:11

pathway 11:12 34:1

peanut 53:4

pellagra 32:14, 16 33:9 35:5 36:2,8, 15 38:11,21 40:8,23

people 17:24 18:20 40:6

percent 13:14 55:21

perfuming 53:20

person 17:10 18:8,15 20:20,21 22:14

petitioner 4:15

ph 53:12

Ph.d. 4:2 18:10

pharmaceutica

1 14:22,24,25 16:21, 25 17:6,20 18:16 19:7,22 20:9,23 21:11,20 22:4,16,25 23:20 40:14

pharmaceutica

lly 24:17

phosphate 34:1,3

phrase 39:24

pill 35:16

pint 40:21 41:4,15

plain 17:9

point 11:2 pointing 55:2 polyanhydride s 53:13

polycarbonate s 53:13

polyesters 53:12

polyethylene 53:7

polyols 53:6 **pool** 49:25

population 9:13 populations

35:8

potato 52:24

powdered 53:1

precisely 9:15

precursor 11:5, 11 13:25 32:7,17 33:3,8,13 35:12 41:8 51:10

precursors

10:18 14:3 15:13 35:2,11 41:12

present 6:8 9:7 13:15,16 25:6 37:7, 25 41:4 42:1 43:23 53:21 54:4 55:23

presented 13:13 26:17 54:22 55:20

preservatives 53:20

presume 34:14

37:21,22 50:24 54:23

prevent 35:4 36:8

prevention 38:11 40:8

preventive 43:15 primarily 6:23 primary 32:6 35:7

prior 45:22 46:24
process 33:20

products 38:3 proof 47:11

proportional 9:6

propylene 53:6 protection

protein 12:18 **prove** 15:9 32:3

43:17

proved 49:23 proven 16:6

provide 8:12 24:1

provided 15:1 16:24

provision 32:7 publish 7:13

published 7:6, 17 27:17 28:10,24

pull 50:20

purified 35:2,11

purpose 36:18 51:10

purposes 27:8, 11 31:3

pyrogen-free 53:10

Q

qualified 20:22 qualifies 16:20 22:25

qualify 21:19,24 22:3 25:9 quality 35:6 quantifiable

55:9,11

quantified 54:19 quantify 42:9

quantity 36:21 question 21:1

46:16

questioning

questions 47:24 48:12,22 49:4 50:8 54:11,13 56:12,13

quick 36:23

quote 38:20,21 43:13,19

R

random 9:13 range 8:22

rare 37:23

RDA 41:20

reaction 34:4,9, 13 38:7 50:16

read 4:8,9 6:10 13:12,17 25:8 26:11 27:3 52:13

reading 41:21 readings 6:16,18

real 6:23

realtime 9:23

reason 10:15 16:15 23:2

recall 37:10 48:11,21 50:9 51:23 54:13

receiving 20:5 40:21

recently 26:23

recess 36:25 47:22 48:4,18 56:11

recited 23:3

recites 21:23 24:16

reciting 17:4,5 recognize 33:19

recommendati

on 35:7 36:22

recommended 41:7,11,16 42:6 44:4,8,19,24

record 4:24 10:1 47:19 48:3 52:12

red 36:13

redirect 48:2

reduced 40:23

refer 36:16 38:10 46:4 49:9

reference 5:11 8:15 10:17,24 12:5, 12 13:1 14:6,15,17, 21 16:18 25:17,19, 22,24 26:2,4 27:16, 17,22 28:2,6,10,13, 17,24 29:2,9,22 31:6,9,12,14 37:6, 15 38:12,15,23 41:21 42:9 43:8 44:1,4 45:5,10,15, 18,22 46:24

referenced 49:5

27:7,14 38:9 49:20 **referred** 5:20

25:17,22 26:2 38:20 **referring** 5:11

7:2 10:8 23:19 25:11 32:13

reflect 52:10
Relation 38:20
relative 11:22

Index: release..synthesize

release 53:19 released 33:16 Relevance 7:19 16:4 17:12,21 20:1 22:18 27:19 relevant 18:9 **rely** 27:6 relying 27:12 30:19 31:3 remainder 13:16 55:23 remember 29:5 **remind** 24:10 repeat 9:18 report 8:3 39:6,9 42:15,19 48:16 reported 7:3

40:17 43:8 44:3,4 **REPORTER** 4:7
9:21

8:16,22 10:22 11:15

reports 7:22 13:4,8 27:22 require 16:14

required 15:22 46:8

requirement 32:22 33:9

requirements 32:24 33:2

requiring 21:2

respect 19:1 rest 46:19

results 7:3 8:3 11:4 27:23 43:8 56:4

return 54:8 returning 53:23 revealed 40:21 **review** 5:8 26:24 27:3,4,5,7

reviewed 40:3 riboside 6:6,13, 20 7:1,17,23 8:9,16 9:3 10:5,7,11 11:17 12:2,25 13:5,21 14:4,22 15:5 16:1, 12,15 20:15 23:21 25:7,12 26:9 27:24 28:1,7,14,17,21 29:3,10,18,20,23 32:10 33:22 34:7,23 35:18,20,24 37:7, 13,16,25 38:6 39:7, 11,14 41:3,15,17 42:1,5,7,10,17,20, 24 43:22 44:5,9,13, 18,23,25 45:7 49:1, 13,14,21,24 50:5 51:4,6,9 54:16

riboside's 36:1 rich 35:3 right-hand 7:11

55:3

Ringer's 53:11

risk 40:23,24

robustly 6:15

Rock 7:24

route 29:5

running 19:3

S

safflower 53:4 saline 53:11 sample 54:15 sampled 54:12 samples 9:7,18 10:11,21 11:16,18 54:19 55:7,12,14 sampling 9:13 **scope** 18:19 **scroll** 9:22 **secure** 43:17

sentence 6:5 11:5 15:18 16:10 18:6 26:8,16 29:25 30:11 31:18 32:5 34:25 39:17 40:16 48:25 49:6,8 50:4 52:4,11,14,20 56:5

serve 52:5,22 sesame 53:4 show 13:13 55:21 showed 26:25 shown 55:7,15 sic 23:12 sign 4:8,9

signal 6:23 51:7 **similar** 18:11 33:6

Similarly 41:25 skill 17:10 18:8, 15,21 19:14 20:20, 21

skim 7:24,25 43:16

small 12:19 **sodium** 52:25 53:17

solid 24:18,21 solution 53:11 solutions 53:12 solventencapsulating 24:19

sorbitol 53:7 soybean 53:5 spanning 43:4 speaking 19:21 **specific** 33:2 **specifically** 7:2 14:20 21:25 23:12 27:12 39:5 43:5

spectrometer 49:16 51:7

spectrum 38:3 spending 12:11 spills 18:4

spoiled 22:13,15, 20

spot 11:2 **stable** 30:4 49:15, 16

starch 52:23,24 **starches** 52:23 **start** 9:22

starts 11:5 **state** 4:23 6:6 15:18 23:15 26:9 30:2 40:10

stated 41:10 **statement** 15:24 26:16

statements

states 13:13 32:6 35:1 55:20

stating 52:15 stay 37:3 stearate 53:18

step 30:4

Stipulations 4:7

strange 39:24 **studies** 26:19,21 30:2,11,18,19,23 36:11,19 49:5

study 39:19 40:17

subject 14:9 20:5 22:22

subjective 8:12 submitted 5:7,

substances 53:14

substantial 6:9,

substantially 40:23

sucrose 52:6,23 sufficient 35:4 36:8

sugar 54:4

sugars 52:5,22 53:24

suitable 17:7 19:10,18 42:8 sulfate 53:18

supplement

supplying 27:23 support 21:6 suppository 53:3

surprise 8:14 surprised 8:24 suspected 39:18

sweetening 53:19

sworn 4:3 symptomatic

synthesis 12:8, 19 26:10 46:8,20 49:2.13 50:6

synthesize 32:8 47:12

T table 55:7.15.16 taking 22:14 talc 53:2 talk 23:11 40:17 46:20 talked 37:5 41:6 talking 14:2 21:5 43:7 **teaches** 15:19 **term** 17:10,14,17, 20 18:1,22 19:22,24 23:25 **terms** 12:9,14 19:6 test 39:19 **tested** 54:15,20 55:12.15

testimony 50:13 **thing** 37:23 51:2 things 8:23 17:24 18:23 19:11

testified 4:5

Thinking 21:25 threshold 6:22.

time 7:16 12:11 40:9

timeframe 18:9 tissue 49:25

today 35:2 48:22 50:8 51:21,24 54:6, 10

tongue 43:14 **top** 26:23 33:1

39:16 55:2 **total** 44:10,12

tragacanth 53:2

Trammell 5:21 7:3,5,13,16,22 8:15 10:17 11:14 12:24 13:4,12 30:3,12,15 31:6 37:15 41:21 44:1,4 49:9,12,15, 19 50:4,19 54:8,16 55:20 56:2

treat 35:5 36:1.8 treated 35:2 treating 36:15 treatment 20:6

true 10:23 46:9 54:23 55:14

Trustees 4:11 truth 4:4 tryptophan

10:21 11:9,11,15,22 12:6,7,18,21 13:15 14:4 15:15 32:9 33:7 55:22

Tummala 25:17 26:17 27:13,16,22 28:2,5 31:9

turn 5:24 26:6 32:4 38:25 43:3 48:9

types 8:4,9,17 11:7

typical 14:2 typically 51:8

U

ultimately 30:6,

understand 17:9 46:23 50:12 52:17

understanding 47:3

universal 19:23 unusual 51:2

upper 7:10

V

vague 17:15 24:1 **values** 9:15 10:4 variability 8:22 9:1,9

vary 9:7 **verify** 21:21 **view** 17:19 45:18 vitamin 6:7 11:6 51:10,15

W

water 29:9,16

53:11 **waxes** 53:3 **weight** 43:16 Wetting 53:16 word 8:11 work 40:4 working 48:15 **works** 47:3 written 46:9 wrote 26:24 27:2,

Υ

4,5

Younkin 4:9,14 7:19 9:4,19,25 10:12 11:19 12:3 15:8 16:4 17:12,21 18:18 19:2,25 20:12,17,24 21:15 22:17 27:19 32:19

39:25 41:18 42:25 44:7,14 45:12,25 46:13,15 47:7,15, 21,25 48:8,16,20 52:13,17,18 55:5,6 56:9,12

33:24 34:20 36:3